

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/524,918	07/05/2005	Todd Stout	67101-002	2982
26096 CARLSON, G	7590 12/03/2008 ASKEY & OLDS, P.C.		EXAMINER	
400 WEST MAPLE ROAD			ASTORINO, MICHAEL C	
SUITE 350 BIRMINGHA	M. MI 48009		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3769	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/03/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/524,918	STOUT, TODD		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Michael C. Astorino	3769		

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address	-
THE REPLY FILED 19 November 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1. Q The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonm application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which papplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.31; or (3) a for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following tingeriods:	laces the Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever no event however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner hote: If box is checked, check either box (a) rol, O, NIX Y OHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED W	
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.17(a) and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extensions of the fee. The appropriate extension of the feet of	ension fee n; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(b)), to avoid dismissal of the appendix on the provided of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS	al. Since
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) hay raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) hy raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issu_appeal; and/or	ies for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 	324).
Description of a mended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canonon-allowable claim(s).	eling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explana how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	tion of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be en because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is neces was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to preshowing a good and sufficient reasons with it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 430(11).	
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	
Not request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because Continuation Sheet.	ause:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other:	
/Michael C. Astorino/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3769 571-272-4723	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that the prior art reference Blants et al. does not teach "compening at least one health symptom to a trigger value. The Examiner disagrees with the applicant. The Examiner provides further detail in the following mapping of the limitations to the applied prior art:

1. A method of detecting an event comprising the steps of:

a) evaluating a patient to determine at least one health symptom experienced by the patient; (see column 4, lines 35-67; a patient has to be evaluated to identify an asthma type and Blants et al. states that different asthma types connect to their own symptoms; see also column 5, lines 12-18)

b) monitoring occurrences of the at least one health symptom; (see column 4, lines 35-67; Blants et al. compiles symptom information, see also column 5. lines 36-42)

c) comparing the occurrences of the at least one health symptom to a trigger value; (see column 4, lines 35-67; notifications/warmings wherein individuals independently indicate at a building they get symptoms and where an environmental office hocks it out is sufficient to reject the limitation, especially wherein the location becomes an ACT (asthma cluster type) and later a warning is sent to an individual based on the ACT; see also column 5, lines 29-59 and

d) activating an alert when the occurrences of the at least one health symptom exceed the trigger value (see column 4, lines 35-67; and column 5, lines 30-35; the use of a warnings/notification is synonymous with an alert).

The Applicant is invited to contact the examiner and discuss the application, if the explanation above does not provide sufficient detail.